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# Introduction

# *O software a desenvolvido no âmbito do projeto da UC calcula o vetor de pesos ótimo para o filtro anti-spam, ou seja, calcula o peso ótimo a atribuir a cada regra presente no ficheiro de configuração do filtro anti-spam – ficheiro rules.cf. A configuração ótima tem em consideração se o filtro anti-spam é destinado a caixas de email para uso profissional.*

# Code inspection – GUI

*GUI denomina-se por "Graphical User Interface", que consiste num modelo de interface de utilizador que permite a interação com dispositivos digitais através de elementos gráficos.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Meeting date:*  *Meeting duration:*  *Moderator:*  *Producer:*  *Inspector:*  *Recorder:* | *05/12/2017*  *60 minutes*  *Mafalda Cardoso*  *Filipe Teixeira, Rui Francisco*  *Débora Gabriel* |
| *Component name (Package/Class/Method):* | *GUI* |
| *Component was compiled:* |  |
| *Component was executed:* |  |
| *Component was tested without errors:* |  |
| *Testing coverage achieved:* |  |

# 

# Code inspection checklist

*Java Inspection Checklist*

*Copyright © 1999 by Christopher Fox. Used with permission.*

**1. Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC)**

□ Are descriptive variable and constant names used in accord with naming conventions?

□ Are there variables or attributes with confusingly similar names?

□ Is every variable and attribute correctly typed?

□ Is every variable and attribute properly initialized?

□ Could any non-local variables be made local?

□ Are all for-loop control variables declared in the loop header?

□ Are there literal constants that should be named constants?

□ Are there variables or attributes that should be constants?

□ Are there attributes that should be local variables?

□ Do all attributes have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?

□ Are there static attributes that should be non-static or vice-versa?

**2. Method Definition Defects (FD)**

□ Are descriptive method names used in accord with naming conventions?

□ Is every method parameter value checked before being used?

□ For every method: Does it return the correct value at every method return point?

□ Do all methods have appropriate access modifiers (private, protected, public)?

□ Are there static methods that should be non-static or vice-versa?

**3. Class Definition Defects (CD)**

□ Does each class have appropriate constructors and destructors?

□ Do any subclasses have common members that should be in the superclass?

□ Can the class inheritance hierarchy be simplified?

**4. Data Reference Defects (DR)**

□ For every array reference: Is each subscript value within the defined bounds ?

□ For every object or array reference: Is the value certain to be non-null?

**5. Computation/Numeric Defects (CN)**

□ Are there any computations with mixed data types?

□ Is overflow or underflow possible during a computation?

□ For each expressions with more than one operator: Are the assumptions about order of evaluation and precedence correct?

□ Are parentheses used to avoid ambiguity?

**6. Comparison/Relational Defects (CR)**

□ For every boolean test: Is the correct condition checked?

□ Are the comparison operators correct?

□ Has each boolean expression been simplified by driving negations inward?

□ Is each boolean expression correct?

□ Are there improper and unnoticed side-effects of a comparison?

□ Has an "&" inadvertently been interchanged with a "&&" or a "|" for a "||"?

**7. Control Flow Defects (CF)**

□ For each loop: Is the best choice of looping constructs used?

□ Will all loops terminate?

□ When there are multiple exits from a loop, is each exit necessary and handled properly?

□ Does each switch statement have a default case?

□ Are missing switch case break statements correct and marked with a comment?

□ Do named break statements send control to the right place?

□ Is the nesting of loops and branches too deep, and is it correct?

□ Can any nested if statements be converted into a switch statement?

□ Are null bodied control structures correct and marked with braces or comments?

□ Are all exceptions handled appropriately?

□ Does every method terminate?

**8. Input-Output Defects (IO)**

□ Have all files been opened before use?

□ Are the attributes of the input object consistent with the use of the file?

□ Have all files been closed after use?

□ Are there spelling or grammatical errors in any text printed or displayed?

□ Are all I/O exceptions handled in a reasonable way?

**9. Module Interface Defects (MI)**

□ Are the number, order, types, and values of parameters in every method call in agreement with the called method's declaration?

□ Do the values in units agree (e.g., inches versus yards)?

□ If an object or array is passed, does it get changed, and changed correctly by the called method?

**10. Comment Defects (CM)**

□ Does every method, class, and file have an appropriate header comment?

□ Does every attribute, variable, and constant declaration have a comment?

□ Is the underlying behavior of each method and class expressed in plain language?

□ Is the header comment for each method and class consistent with the behavior of the method or class?

□ Do the comments and code agree?

□ Do the comments help in understanding the code?

□ Are there enough comments in the code?

□ Are there too many comments in the code?

**11. Layout and Packaging Defects (LP)**

□ Is a standard indentation and layout format used consistently?

□ For each method: Is it no more than about 60 lines long?

□ For each compile module: Is no more than about 600 lines long?

**12. Modularity Defects (MO)**

□ Is there a low level of coupling between modules (methods and classes)?

□ Is there a high level of cohesion within each module (methods or class)?

□ Is there repetitive code that could be replaced by a call to a method that provides the behavior of the repetitive code?

□ Are the Java class libraries used where and when appropriate?

**13. Storage Usage Defects (SU)**

□ Are arrays large enough?

□ Are object and array references set to null once the object or array is no longer needed?

**14. Performance Defects (PE)**

□ Can better data structures or more efficient algorithms be used?

□ Are logical tests arranged such that the often successful and inexpensive tests precede the more expensive and less frequently successful tests ?

□ Can the cost of recomputing a value be reduced by computing it once and storing the results?

□ Is every result that is computed and stored actually used?

□ Can a computation be moved outside a loop?

□ Are there tests within a loop that do not need to be done?

□ Can a short loop be unrolled?

□ Are there two loops operating on the same data that can be combined into one?

□ Are frequently used variables declared register?

□ Are short and commonly called methods declared inline?

# Found defects

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Found defect Id** | **Package, Class, Method, Line** | **Defect category** | **Description** |
| 1 | GUI, GUI, Linhas : 25-35, 45-48, 61-63, 67, 81, 101, 120, 134, 159, 164, 169, 174, 179, 191, 196, 199, 202, 206, 214, 234, 236, 256, 297, 360, 366, 373, 380, 391, 395. | Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC) | De acordo com as convenções de nomes não deveria haver “\_” e sim, pôr o nome da variável tipo lista\_de\_botoes = listaDeBotoes.  Variáveis x e y, mudar para width and height.  Coerência entre português e inglês.  Variáveis com erros ortográficos. |
| 2 | GUI, FileChooserListener, Linha 16. | Variable, Attribute, and Constant Declaration Defects (VC) | Variável income, pode suscitar dúvidas. Mudar para um nome mais adequado. |
| 3 | GUI, GUI, Linhas: 390, 394, 398. | Method Definition Defects (FD) | Os métodos devem ser verbos, em maiúsculas e minúsculas, com a primeira letra minúscula, com a primeira letra de cada palavra interna maiúscula. |
| 4 | GUI,ButtonOptionListener, actionPerformed. | Control Flow Defects (CF) | O método necessita de um default case e de comentários nos switch cases. |
| 5 | GUI,FileChooserListener, actionPerformed. | Control Flow Defects (CF) | Sugestão: No método actionPerformed da classe FileChooserListener usar o switch. |
| 6 | GUI | Control Flow Defects (CF) | Sugestão: Qualquer método declarado nulo não retorna um valor, ou seja, não precisa conter uma declaração de retorno, mas pode fazê-lo. Nesse caso, uma declaração de retorno pode ser usada para se ramificar de um bloco de fluxo de controle e sair do método. -> return; mas não é obrigatório. |
| 7 | GUI,FileChooserListener, actionPerformed, Linhas: 42,45,48. | Input-Output Defects (IO) | Erro ortográfico num output - “exprimente”-> experimente. |
| 8 | GUI | Comment Defects (CM) | Falta de comentários, em termos de métodos e classes, e nem todos os métodos são explicados em linguagem natural. |
| 9 | GUI, GUI, addFirstPanel & addSecondPanel & addThirdPanel. | Layout and Packaging Defects (LP) | Sem comentários addFirstPanel tem 55linhas. Com comentários é maior que 60 linhas.  addSecondPanel tem mais de 60 linhas sem comentários.  addThridPanel tem cerca de 60 linhas sem comentários. |
| 10 | GUI,ButtonOptionListener, actionPerformed, Linhas: 23, 34, 43. | Performance Defects (PE) | Sugestão: Usar um único loop no método. |

# Corrective measures

*As correções e sugestões propostas serão executadas pelos developers.*

# Conclusions of the inspection process

*O projeto em si, não precisa de grandes mudanças. Os aspectos que se destacam mais são a necessidade de mais comentários, e a mudança de nomes de variáveis.*